#### MARS status report for 2014-2015

#### B.T. Roeder, A. Saastamoinen, and A. Spiridon

This year we produced and separated several radioactive beams for the physics program at the Cyclotron Institute at Texas A&M University with the Momentum Achromat Recoil Separator (MARS) [1]. Some of the beams in this report were developed during previous years [2]. A new, low energy <sup>16</sup>N beam was also developed (see below in section IV).

### I. Production of radioactive beams for superallowed β-decay measurements

During 2014-2015, we tuned several radioactive beams with MARS for the group of Prof. J.C. Hardy with the (p, 2n) fusion-evaporation reaction. Nearly pure beams of <sup>30</sup>S, <sup>26</sup>Si, and <sup>34</sup>Ar were produced. These beams were needed as part of Prof. Hardy's research group's continuing studies of the lifetime and branching ratios for superallowed  $\beta$ -decays.

The <sup>30</sup>S beam was produced with the  $p(^{31}P,^{30}S)2n$  reaction. A primary beam of  $^{31}P^{10+}$  at 30 MeV/u from the K500 cyclotron bombarded the MARS gas cell target to produce the <sup>30</sup>S. The target was filled with 2 atm of H<sub>2</sub> gas cooled to 77K. After optimizing the tune of MARS, we obtained 90 eV/nC, or about 18,000 particles/sec of <sup>30</sup>S at the end of MARS with the full primary beam intensity. The total impurity rate was about 1.3%, with the main contribution coming from <sup>27</sup>Si at about 0.4%.

The <sup>26</sup>Si beam was produced with the  $p(^{27}Al,^{26}Si)2n$  reaction. A primary beam of <sup>27</sup>Al<sup>8+</sup> at 30 MeV/u from the K500 cyclotron bombarded the MARS gas cell target to produce the <sup>26</sup>Si. The target was filled with 2 atm. of H<sub>2</sub> gas cooled to 77K. After optimizing the tune of MARS, we obtained 240 eV/nC, or about 22,000 particles/sec of <sup>26</sup>Si at the end of MARS with the full primary beam intensity. The total impurity rate was about 1.6%, with the main contribution coming from <sup>23</sup>Mg at about 0.8%.

The <sup>34</sup>Ar beam was produced with the  $p({}^{35}Cl, {}^{34}Ar)2n$  reaction. A primary beam of  ${}^{35}Cl$  at 30 MeV/u from the K500 cyclotron bombarded the MARS gas cell target to produce the  ${}^{34}Ar$ . The target was filled with 2 atm of H<sub>2</sub> gas cooled to 77K. After optimizing the tune of MARS, we obtained 51 eV/nC, or about 20,400 particles/sec of  ${}^{34}Ar$  at the end of MARS with the full primary beam intensity. The total impurity rate was about 1.1%, with the main contribution coming from  ${}^{31}S$  at about 0.2%.

## II. <sup>35</sup>K secondary beam

In March 2014, we produced and separated  ${}^{35}$ K with MARS [2]. Following this successful test run, the  ${}^{35}$ K  $\beta$ -delayed proton decay experiment was conducted in June 2014. Details of the measurement are given in a separate report [3]. For this measurement, the  ${}^{35}$ K was produced with the fusion-evaporation reaction (p,2n) in inverse kinematics with  ${}^{36}$ Ar primary beam at 36 MeV/u. Hydrogen gas at a pressure of 2 atm and at a temperature of 77K was used in the MARS gas cell target.

In the experiment, the <sup>35</sup>K secondary beam was slowed down and implanted into a thin silicon strip detector that is only ~45  $\mu$ m thick. Thus, the <sup>35</sup>K secondary beam must have a small momentum spread such that all the nuclei produced are implanted into the detector. For the <sup>35</sup>K production test, we set

the MARS momentum slits (the "coffin slits") to  $\pm 0.5$  cm, which corresponds to a momentum spread of the secondary beam of  $\Delta P/P \approx \pm 0.3\%$ . With this momentum slit setting, we produced <sup>35</sup>K at a rate of about 3.0 events/nC. This gave a rate of about 450 particles/sec for the <sup>35</sup>K (using 150 nA of <sup>36</sup>Ar primary beam) with about 40% impurities. The largest impurity contribution came from <sup>32</sup>Cl, but this did not significantly affect the experiment. The  $\Delta E$  vs. Y-position spectrum on the MARS target detector showing the resulting secondary beam for the <sup>35</sup>K is shown in Fig. 1.



FIG. 1. Results of the <sup>35</sup>K MARS tuning for the June 2014 experiment.

# III. <sup>9</sup>C secondary beam

Also in March 2014, we produced and separated <sup>9</sup>C with MARS [2]. <sup>9</sup>C was needed by the group of Prof. G. Rogachev for their experiment with resonant elastic proton scattering using the Thick Target Inverse Kinematics (TTIK) method. The <sup>9</sup>C secondary beam was employed to study the unbound <sup>10</sup>N nucleus. The experiment was conducted in October 2014.

For the  ${}^{9}C$  experiment, a  ${}^{10}B$  primary beam at 31 MeV/u bombarded the MARS gas cell target. The gas cell target was filled with 3 atm of hydrogen gas at a temperature of 77K. The  ${}^{9}C$  was produced with the fusion-evaporation reaction (p,2n) in inverse kinematics. The Q-value for the p( ${}^{10}B,{}^{9}C$ )2n reaction is -25.7 MeV. Thus, 31 MeV/u was chosen for the primary beam energy as a compromise

between the production rate for  ${}^{9}C$ , which is better at higher primary beam energies, and the desire to have the  ${}^{9}C$  at the lowest possible energy. For the experiment, the  ${}^{9}C$  energy was reduced to  $\sim 11 \text{ MeV/u}$  with degraders and a thick scintillator foil at the entrance of their scattering chamber.

The optimized production rate for the  ${}^{9}C$  secondary beam was about 7.0 events/nC with the 3 atm of gas in the target, which gave ~about 1.4 x 10<sup>3</sup> particles/sec with 200 nA of  ${}^{10}B$  beam on target. The  ${}^{9}C$  secondary beam was relatively pure, although there was some contamination in the beam from  $\alpha$ -particles and  ${}^{3}He$ . Some of this contamination from the  $\alpha$ -particles was removed in the experiment by closing the slits of MARS. The resulting  ${}^{9}C$  secondary beam as measured by the MARS target detector is shown in Fig. 2.



**FIG. 2.** Result of the  ${}^{9}C$  production with MARS. The main contaminant of the secondary beam is from  ${}^{3}He$ .

## IV. Production of <sup>16</sup>N secondary beam

<sup>16</sup>N secondary beam was produced with MARS at low energy in preparation for upcoming experiments to study the pionic fusion reaction mechanism with Prof. Yennello's group.

In the test, a  ${}^{15}N^{2+}$  primary beam at 7 MeV/u from the K500 cyclotron bombarded the MARS gas cell target. The gas cell was filled with  ${}^{2}H_{2}$  (deuterium) gas at a pressure of 948 torr and a temperature of 77K. The reaction d( ${}^{15}N, {}^{16}N$ )p was used to produce the  ${}^{16}N$ . However,  ${}^{16}O$  was also produced with high cross section at this energy from the d( ${}^{15}N, {}^{16}O$ )n reaction. It is possible for the  ${}^{16}O$  ions to be produced in other charge states besides  ${}^{16}O^{8+}$ . Thus if  ${}^{16}O^{7+}$  is produced, it is indistinguishable from  ${}^{16}N^{7+}$  in MARS unless a thin silicon detector or degrader foil is employed to separate the two secondary beams by their different energy losses in the materials. Since a thin silicon detector was not available for the experiment, a thin Al degrader foil with areal density 4.4 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> was inserted in front of the MARS target detector. To optimize the production of  ${}^{16}N^{7+}$  vs.  ${}^{16}O^{7+}$ , the MARS magnet settings were kept constant (D1-2 = 255.2 A, or  $B\rho = 0.60$  T\*m) while the gas cell pressure was varied from 1220 torr to 777 torr in steps of about 50 torr. We found the optimized  ${}^{16}N^{7+}$  production with 948 torr,  ${}^{16}O^{7+}$  at 832 torr, and some mixture of the two elements at the settings in-between.

Depending on the MARS quadrupole settings used, the production rate for  ${}^{16}N^{7+}$  varied between 900 events/nC and 2200 events/nC. With ~100 nA of primary beam on target, this implies that production rates of greater than 10<sup>5</sup> particles/sec are available for this beam at this energy. This relatively intense  ${}^{16}N$  beam may be employed in future nuclear astrophysics experiments.

- [1] R.E. Tribble, R.H. Burch, and C.A. Gagliardi, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A285, 441 (1989).
- [2] B.T. Roeder *et al.*, *Progress in Research*, Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University (2013-2014), p. I-48; http://cyclotron.tamu.edu/2014 Progress Report/index.html.
- [3] A. Saastamoinen *et al.*, *Progress in Research*, Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University (2014-2015), http://cyclotron.tamu.edu/2015 Progress Report/index.html.